OPEN SESSION • MEETING OF: JUNE 25, 2014

Adequate Notice of this meeting was provided in accordance with the provisions of the Open Public Meetings Act, P. L. 1975, C. 231.

- 1 The meeting was called to order by Chairman Robert Kirschner at 11:48 AM.
- 2 Roll Call for attendance:

Commissioners	Present	Absent
Brooks, Neil (NB)	x	
Flancbaum, Justin (JF)	x	
Katz, Shlomo (SK)		
Rabinowitz, Sam (SR)	x	
Schuster, Edwin (ES)		
Stafford-Smith, Gregory (GSS)	Arrived at 12:11 PM	
Kirschner, Robert-Chairman (RK)	х	
Quorum Count:		
Dep Mayor and Liaison Steven Langert	х	
LIC Professionals and Staff		
Reinman, Steven- Exec. Dir.	x	
Kean, Sean – Comm Atty	Х	
Doyle, Anita-Scty-Designee	х	
Staiger, Jeff – Comm. Engineer	х	

Also in attendance: Frances Kirschner/ Frantasy Enterprises, Marketing and PR for Lakewood Twp

- 3
- 4 Mr. Langert observed that the printed Agenda reflects a meeting of time of 11:30 PM rather than
- 5 11:30 AM and suggested that this typo be corrected. - A
- 6

7 **MINUTES OF THE MAY 28, 2014 MEETING:**

8 JF/NB Motion to approve the transcribed minutes of the May 28, 2014 meeting as written. 9 Carried.

10

STATEMENT OF ACCOUNTS: 11

- 12 The Statement of Accounts was presented for review and acceptance reflecting the current fiscal
- standing of the Lakewood Industrial Commission. It was reported that the land lease payment for the 13
- cell tower on Lot 21 in Block 1609 was received and deposited; posted interest has increased due the 14
- 15 settlement with the NJ Turnpike Authority. No unusual activity took place over the month.

JF/SR Motion to accept the Statement of Accounts dated June 25, 2014 as presented. Carried. 16

17 18

OPEN SESSION • MEETING OF: JUNE 25, 2014

Adequate Notice of this meeting was provided in accordance with the provisions of the Open Public Meetings Act, P. L. 1975, C. 231.

19 **BILL LIST DATED JUNE 25, 2014:**

- 20 The following bills were presented for payment approval to be paid through the Industrial
- 21 Commission's checking account:
- 22

Num	Name	Memo	Amount
2961	Cleary Giacobbe Alfieri & Jacobs	Legal Services provides through 5/30/14	\$ 604.80
2962	Anita B. Doyle	Services provided to the LIC/LTDED for the 5/1/14 through 5/31/14	\$ 1,132.83
2963	Duvy's Media LLC	Website Management Services provided through 5/31/14	\$ 124.42
2964	Gertner Mandel & Peslak, LLC	File# 7691-4 Invoice # 37893 Legal Services provided through May 22, 2014	\$ 1,425.00
2965	Frantasy Enterprises, LLC	Public Relations and Marketing Services for Lakewood Township and LIC for the month of June 2014	\$ 2,000.00
2966	Israel Reinman	Executive Director's Car Allowance for the Month of June 2014	\$ 400.00
2967	Verizon Wireless	Cell Phone Service: Inv#9726409206 732-232-9700 5/5/14-6/4/14	\$ 102.98
2968	Watchung Spring Water Co., Inc.	Acct 127214 Inv#5853133 Meeting Supplies	\$ 73.92
		Subtotal:	\$ 5,863.95
2969	Yussi's	Meeting Refreshments	\$ 186.19

Bill List Total \$ 6,050.14

23

24 JF/SR Motion to approve payment of bills numbered 2961 through 2969.

25 On roll call:

Commissioner	Yea	Nay	Abstain
Brooks, Neil	Х		
Flancbaum, Justin	Х		
Katz, Shlomo			
Rabinowitz, Sam	Х		
Schuster, Edwin			
Stafford-Smith, Greg			
Kirschner, Robert	Х		Abstained from voting
			on Bill#2965

26 This action succeeded based on majority votes to carry the motion.

27

OPEN SESSION • MEETING OF: JUNE 25, 2014

28	The BUDGET TO ACTUAL REPORT was presented for Commission information reflecting that
29	adequate funds are available in the budget for payment of the bills listed in the Bill List.
30	
31	Question on the Bills: Mr. Kirschner asked Mr. Reinman whether the Commission's case assignment
32	to Attorney Gertner was concluded (NJ Turnpike Taking). The response was that the settlement
33	activity has concluded and that there may still remain a small balance which should be cleared up in
34	the next month.
35	
36	EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT:
37	Mr. Reinman reported on the following:
38	Lakewood Airport:
39	• Mr. Reinman reported that he is continuing to work with contractors to try and come
40	up with a model for hangars construction.
41	 Mr. Kirschner asked about the access road from Airport Road into the T-
42	Hangar and Maintenance Hangar areas and what the plan is.
43	• Mr. Reinman responded that right now they are using the dirt road that
44	exists to get to the hangar areas. After the 60 acres is under
45	development, it will be easier to get an access road constructed from
46	Airport Road.
47	• Banner Towers are clearing additional space in the "bowl" away from the runway. The
48	Third Operator will also operate from the former jump zone. This should reduce
49	complaints about "beehive activity" over the runway.
50	• New Hampshire Holdings (Retail Center on New Hampshire Avenue/America Avenue).
51	We've received notice that CAFRA has indicated that their application is deemed complete
52	and placed their application into the 30-day Public Comment Period. Hopefully they will be
53	ready to close pretty soon.
54	Lakewood Equities
55	• Continue to progress toward approvals. A modification to the detention basin may be
56	presented to the Lakewood Planning Board.
57 59	
58 50	ATTORNEY'S REPORT:
59 60	Attorney Kean stated that he has no report this month.
60 61	CODDESDONDENCE.
61 62	CORRESPONDENCE:
62 63	Letter was received from NJEDA announcing that Tipico was approved NJEDA sponsored financing through TD Bank for expansion of their business. Mr. Reinman asked whether they were also looking
65 64	into Foreign Trade Zone participation. The response was that they were considering FTZ but nor
65	forward motion was made regarding an application.
66	for ward motion was made regarding an appreation.
67	

OPEN SESSION • MEETING OF: JUNE 25, 2014

 Mr. Flancbaum reported on the H & H LLC plan for a second residential (townhouse) development on the properties at the northeast corner of New Hampshire Avenue and Cedar Bridge Avenues. There are issues regarding ingress and egress to the site. A meeting is being scheduled with the applicant to discuss the plans for this site. Oros Bais Yaakov application on Rutgers University Boulevard is requesting change of use from existing Industrial uses to Orthodox Girls' High School. Mr. Flancbaum informed the commissioners that no exception was expressed by the Commission to the Lakewood Planning Board as educational facilities are a permitted use in the zone. Mr. Kirschner presented a question regarding the H & H application. Is the access to the complex to be made from New Hampshire Avenue? Or Cedar Bridge Avenue? Mr. Flancbaum responded that it is indicated that a main plan for access is via Swarthmore Avenue through Kenyon Drive. Mr. Langert commented that permission was given to the applicant (H&H LLC) by the Township Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue. Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses. We want to be comment on the Application. The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Me need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. Me need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. Me ewith	68	COMMITTEE REPORTS:
 Bridge Avenues. There are issues regarding ingress and egress to the site. A meeting is being scheduled with the applicant to discuss the plans for this site. Oros Bais Yaakov application on Rutgers University Boulevard is requesting change of use from existing Industrial uses to Orthodox Girls' High School. Mr. Flancbaum informed the commissioners that no exception was expressed by the Commission to the Lakewood Planning Board as educational facilities are a permitted use in the zone. Mr. Kirschner presented a question regarding the H & H application. Is the access to the complex to be made from New Hampshire Avenue? Or Cedar Bridge Avenue? Mr. Flancbaum responded that it is indicated that a main plan for access is via Swarthmore Avenue through Kenyon Drive. Mr. Langert commented that permission was given to the applicant (H&H LLC) by the Township Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue. Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission ris well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Mre commission rest oncluded that they should Meet with the applicant Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 scheduled with the applicant to discuss the plans for this site. Oros Bais Yaakov application on Rutgers University Boulevard is requesting change of use from existing Industrial uses to Orthodox Girls' High School. Mr. Flancbaum informed the commissioners that no exception was expressed by the Commission to the Lakewood Planning Board as educational facilities are a permitted use in the zone. Mr. Kirschner presented a question regarding the H & H application. Is the access to the complex to be made from New Hampshire Avenue? Or Cedar Bridge Avenue? Mr. Flancbaum responded that it is indicated that a main plan for access is via Swarthmore Avenue through Kenyon Drive. Mr. Langert commented that permission was given to the applicant (H&H LLC) by the Township Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue. Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Me need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter t		· · · · ·
 Oros Bais Yaakov application on Rutgers University Boulevard is requesting change of use from existing Industrial uses to Orthodox Girls' High School. Mr. Flancbaum informed the commissioners that no exception was expressed by the Commission to the Lakewood Planning Board as educational facilities are a permitted use in the zone. Mr. Kirschner presented a question regarding the H & H application. Is the access to the complex to be made from New Hampshire Avenue? Or Cedar Bridge Avenue? Mr. Flancbaum responded that it is indicated that a main plan for access is via Swarthmore Avenue through Kenyon Drive. Mr. Langert commented that permission was given to the applicant (H&H LLC) by the Township Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue. Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. Met with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Isue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 Oros Bais Yaakov application on Rutgers University Boulevard is requesting change of use from existing Industrial uses to Orthodox Girls' High School. Mr. Flancbaum informed the commissioners that no exception was expressed by the Commission to the Lakewood Planning Board as educational facilities are a permitted use in the zone. Mr. Kirschner presented a question regarding the H & H application. Is the access to the complex to be made from New Hampshire Avenue? Or Cedar Bridge Avenue? Mr. Flancbaum responded that it is indicated that a main plan for access is via Swarthmore Avenue through Kenyon Drive. Mr. Langert commented that permission was given to the applicant (H&H LLC) by the Township Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue. Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. Meet with the applicant Meet with the applicant Meet with the applicant Meet with the applicant Sitsus a eletter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		scheduled with the applicant to discuss the plans for this site.
75 from existing Industrial uses to Orthodox Girls' High School. Mr. Flancbaum informed the 76 commissioners that no exception was expressed by the Commission to the Lakewood 77 Planning Board as educational facilities are a permitted use in the zone. 78 Mr. Kirschner presented a question regarding the H & H application. Is the access to the complex to 79 Mr. Kirschner presented a question regarding the H & H application. Is the access to the complex to 79 be made from New Hampshire Avenue? Or Cedar Bridge Avenue? Mr. Flancbaum responded that it 71 is indicated that a main plan for access is via Swarthmore Avenue through Kenyon Drive. 74 Mr. Langert commented that permission was given to the applicant (H&H LLC) by the Township 76 Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue. 77 Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in 77 October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them 78 impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse 78 impactful on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were not directly asked to review 79 Discussion continued on this matter. 79 Discussion continued on this matter		
76commissioners that no exception was expressed by the Commission to the Lakewood77Planning Board as educational facilities are a permitted use in the zone.78Mr. Kirschner presented a question regarding the H & H application. Is the access to the complex to79be made from New Hampshire Avenue? Or Cedar Bridge Avenue? Mr. Flanchaum responded that it78is indicated that a main plan for access is via Swarthmore Avenue through Kenyon Drive.78Mr. Langert commented that permission was given to the applicant (H&H LLC) by the Township79Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue.70Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in70October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them71and the Township Committee.72Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is71impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse72impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were not directly asked to review73the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone).74Me75Discussion continued on this matter.76077078079079179Nee with the applicant791791701711721 <td></td> <td></td>		
 Planning Board as educational facilities are a permitted use in the zone. Mr. Kirschner presented a question regarding the H & H application. Is the access to the complex to be made from New Hampshire Avenue? Or Cedar Bridge Avenue? Mr. Flancbaum responded that it is indicated that a main plan for access is via Swarthmore Avenue through Kenyon Drive. Mr. Langert commented that permission was given to the applicant (H&H LLC) by the Township Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue. Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. I. Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary J. Size a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 Mr. Kirschner presented a question regarding the H & H application. Is the access to the complex to be made from New Hampshire Avenue? Or Cedar Bridge Avenue? Mr. Flancbaum responded that it is indicated that a main plan for access is via Swarthmore Avenue through Kenyon Drive. Mr. Langert commented that permission was given to the applicant (H&H LLC) by the Township Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue. Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were not circed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 Mr. Kirschner presented a question regarding the H & H application. Is the access to the complex to be made from New Hampshire Avenue? Or Cedar Bridge Avenue? Mr. Flancbaum responded that it is indicated that a main plan for access is via Swarthmore Avenue through Kenyon Drive. Mr. Langert commented that permission was given to the applicant (H&H LLC) by the Township Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue. Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		Planning Board as educational facilities are a permitted use in the zone.
 be made from New Hampshire Avenue? Or Cedar Bridge Ävenue? Mr. Flancbaum responded that it is indicated that a main plan for access is via Swarthmore Avenue through Kenyon Drive. Mr. Langert commented that permission was given to the applicant (H&H LLC) by the Township Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue. Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse limpact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. The commissioners concluded that they should Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 is indicated that a main plan for access is via Swarthmore Avenue through Kenyon Drive. Mr. Langert commented that permission was given to the applicant (H&H LLC) by the Township Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue. Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. The commissioners concluded that they should Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 Mr. Langert commented that permission was given to the applicant (H&H LLC) by the Township Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue. Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. The commissioners concluded that they should Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 Mr. Langert commented that permission was given to the applicant (H&H LLC) by the Township Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue. Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. The commissioners concluded that they should Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		is indicated that a main plan for access is via Swarthmore Avenue through Kenyon Drive.
 Committee for residential development contingent on their exiting on to New Hampshire Avenue. Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. The commissioners concluded that they should Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		Ma I an end a summer to hith at a sumilation area sized to the sum line of (II 0 II I I C) has the Transmitting
 Not to use industrial park roadways as main thoroughfares. This was presented and discussed in October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. The commissioners concluded that they should Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 October or November 2013 at a Public Meeting. There may have been an agreement between them and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. The commissioners concluded that they should Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 and the Township Committee. Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. The commissioners concluded that they should Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. The commissioners concluded that they should Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		с і
 Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. The commissioners concluded that they should Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		and the Township Committee.
 impactful on the businesses. We want to be cautious about supporting anything that have an adverse impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. The commissioners concluded that they should Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		Mr. Reinman added that there is a lot of residential planning activity in the industrial area. This is
 91 impact on the businesses in the (industrial) park. We (LIC office) were noticed on this application 92 only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review 93 the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). 94 95 The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. 96 97 Discussion continued on this matter. 98 99 We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. 100 101 The commissioners concluded that they should 11. Meet with the applicant 2. Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary 3. Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 only because we are land-owners within 200 feet of the project. We were not directly asked to review the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. The commissioners concluded that they should Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone). The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. Discussion continued on this matter. We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. The commissioners concluded that they should Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 94 95 The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application. 96 97 Discussion continued on this matter. 98 99 We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. 100 101 The commissioners concluded that they should 102 Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary 30 Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 	93	the plan and comment as part of the approval process (B6 zone).
 96 97 Discussion continued on this matter. 98 99 We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. 100 101 The commissioners concluded that they should 102 1. Meet with the applicant 103 2. Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary 104 3. Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 	94	
 97 Discussion continued on this matter. 98 99 We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. 100 101 The commissioners concluded that they should 102 1. Meet with the applicant 103 2. Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary 104 3. Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 	95	The Commission is well-within their purview to comment on the Application.
 98 99 We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. 100 101 The commissioners concluded that they should 102 1. Meet with the applicant 103 2. Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary 104 3. Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 99 We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement. 100 101 The commissioners concluded that they should 102 1. Meet with the applicant 103 2. Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary 104 3. Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		Discussion continued on this matter.
 100 101 The commissioners concluded that they should 102 1. Meet with the applicant 103 2. Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary 104 3. Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 101 The commissioners concluded that they should 102 1. Meet with the applicant 103 2. Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary 104 3. Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		We need clarification from the Township as to the (referenced) agreement.
 Meet with the applicant Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
 Give the Executive Director direction to prepare a letter if deemed necessary Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board 		
1043. Issue a letter to the Lakewood Planning Board		11
		5. Issue a fetter to the Lakewood Planning Doard
	105	

OPEN SESSION • MEETING OF: JUNE 25, 2014

107	OLD BUSINESS:
108	 FEASIBILITY STUDY (60 acres adjacent to Lakewood Airport aviation property)
109	• Mr. Staiger reported that the final study should be in - in July.
110	• 13-ACRE TRACT. Mr. Kirschner reported that he spoke with Steven Leone about the tract.
111	There is no forward motion on their side. He asked about access to our tract through their tract
112	in Brick. The Leone project is for housing. Mr. Reinman advised that it is not within the
113	LIC's charter to sell land for housing. Ours must be sold to provide ratables and employment
114	opportunities.
115	• The LIC Office was directed to research files for any boundary survey that may have
116	been done in the acquisition and consolidation of the lands in the tract (initially titled
117 118	Northeast Parkway Acquisition Area).
118 119	COMMENT FROM THE PUBLIC (announcement was made that public comment is limited to
120	4 minutes):
121	Fran Kirschner of Frantasy Enterprises verified that she wanted to make sure that all of the
122	Commissioners are receiving her press releases. Mr. Reinman thanked Fran Kirschner for working
123	with Kait and Anita on the e-zine (newsletter) that is currently under construction in its first issue.
124	
125	Mr. Stafford-Smith arrived at 12:11 PM
126	
127	NEW BUSINESS:
128	Chairman Kirschner passed out a map of the industrial park area where he is spearheading the
129 130	designation of the S.A.F.E. Zone, which is an expansion of the established ABCD Zone to protect the
130	purposes of the industrial/business parks. Due to new construction and the growing population, there is no end to the need for schools. They are also relocating to industrial buildings which impacts
131	surrounding business' operations. They also tend to locate wherever they can and it is considered
132	that this may spark safety issues if there is no plan for amendment.
134	that this may spark survey issues if there is no plan for amendment.
135	• We should determine the borders
136	• Send our proposal to the Township Committee which, if acceptable will direct the concept to
137	the Township Planner who will include Planning components and write the description of the
138	Zone and then the ordinance to adopt the Zone plan.
139	
140	Mr. Langert asked that the LIC consider preparing a report to be presented to the Township
141	Committee regarding how many schools are located in the industrial parks and a calculation of how
142	much in tax revenue is lost from the conversion of constructed industrial space for use as schools.
143	The following resolution was offered by CSS/SD and corriad
144 145	The following resolution was offered by GSS/SR and carried.
145 146	WHEREAS, Section 8 of the Open Public Meetings Act, P. L. 1975, Chapter 231, permits the
140 147	exclusion of the public from a meeting under circumstances; and

OPEN SESSION • MEETING OF: JUNE 25, 2014

148	WHEREAS, this Industrial Commission of the Township of Lakewood is of the opinion that		
149	such circumstances presently exist.		
150	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Industrial Commission of the Township of		
151	Lakewood in the County of Ocean as follows:		
152	1. The public shall be excluded from discussion of private and confidential matters		
153	involving any of the nine (9) exclusions as set forth in Section 7(b) of said law and as		
154	hereinafter specified.		
155	 The general nature of the subject matter to be discussed is as follows: 		
155	CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS		
157	PERSONNEL		
158			
159	OTHER		
160	3. It is anticipated at this time that the above stated subject matter will be made public		
161	only when the reasons for discussing and acting on them in closed session no longer		
162	exists; said determination to be made by further resolution adopted by the Industrial		
163	Commission.		
164	4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.		
165			
166	The meeting moved into Closed Session at 12:19 PM		
167			
168	On a motion by GSS/JF, the meeting returned to Open Session at 12:44 PM.		
169			
170	Mr. Kirschner pointed out an article published in the Asbury Park Press on 5.2314 titled, "Banners		
171	Banned?" The article detailed the change in use of Monmouth Executive Airport and the banishing of		
172	Banner Towing Operations from that airport.		
173			
174	Lakewood is gaining a lot of exposure from this issue.		
175			
176	It was directed that there be a tour of Lakewood Airport organized for the Commissioners with		
177	photos. They would like it to include a drive-through of the proposed hangar site(s) and the banner-		
178	towing operations. This should not be done on a meeting date, but scheduled independent of the LIC		
179	meeting.		
180			
181	On a motion by NB/GSS and carried, the meeting adjourned at 12:48 PM		
182			
183	Dated: July 22, 2014 by Anita B. Doyle		
184			
185			
186			
100			